UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

AHMED HUSAIN ZUBAIR,

Plaintiff,

-against-

CON EDISON COMPANY OF NY, et al.,

Defendants.

1:20-CV-1313 (CM)

ORDER DIRECTING PAYMENT OF FEES OR AMENDED IFP APPLICATION

COLLEEN McMAHON, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff brings this action *pro se*, seeking to proceed without prepayment of fees, that is, *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). For the reasons discussed below, within thirty days of the date of this order, Plaintiff must either pay the \$400.00 in fees that are required to file a civil action in this Court or submit an amended IFP application.

To proceed with a civil action in this Court, a plaintiff must either pay \$400.00 in fees – a \$350.00 filing fee plus a \$50.00 administrative fee – or, to request authorization to proceed without prepayment of fees, submit an IFP application. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914, 1915.

Plaintiff has filed an IFP application. But the information he provides in it does not suggest that the Court should allow him to proceed IFP. He states that he is employed and earns \$65.00 per hour. He also states that he has \$1,500 in a bank account. He further states that he owns stocks, a car, and a house, but he does not state the value of those assets. In addition, he asserts that he pays \$575 per month in car-loan payments, and an unspecified amount per month in what appear to be mortgage payments. Plaintiff also asserts that he financially supports multiple individuals, but he does not state the amount he contributes to each individual.

The Court has further discovered that in a civil judgment of this Court dated December 18, 2012, Plaintiff was awarded a total of \$115,175.67 (\$7,793 in unpaid overtime wages and

liquidated damages, \$105,575 in attorneys' fees, and \$1,807.67 in costs). *Zubair v. Entech Eng'g*, ECF 1:09-CV-7927, 44 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 18, 2012), *aff'd*, 550 F. App'x 59 (2d Cir. 2014) (summary order) (remanded for a determination of appellate fees and costs), ECF 1:09-CV-7927, 68 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 25, 2014) (judgment against the defendants in the amount of \$45,000 for additional attorneys' fees and costs).

Because of the information Plaintiff has provided in his IFP application, and because of the amount awarded to him in the judgment in *Zubair*, ECF 1:09-CV-7927, 44, the Court cannot grant Plaintiff IFP status at this time. Accordingly, within thirty days of the date of this order, Plaintiff must either pay the \$400.00 in fees to commence this action or submit the attached amended IFP application in which he fully discloses his financial status – he must fully and completely answer all of the amended IFP application's questions, including those about the value of his stocks, car, house, and other assets, the debts he owes, and the amounts he contributes to each individual he financially supports. If Plaintiff submits the amended IFP application, it should be labeled with docket number 1:20-CV-1313 (CM). If the Court finds that Plaintiff now possesses the funds to pay the relevant fees, he may be required to pay them.

The Court directs the Clerk of Court to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff and note service on the docket.¹ No summons shall issue at this time. If Plaintiff complies with this order, this action shall be processed in accordance with the procedures of the Clerk's Office. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order within the time allowed, the Court will dismiss this action.

¹ Plaintiff has filed a consent to electronic service of Court documents but he has not signed it. (ECF 3.)

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *Cf. Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that appellant demonstrates good faith when seeking review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: F

February 18, 2020

New York, New York

COLLEEN McMAHON

Chief United States District Judge